

COMPLEX MONODROMY AND THE TOPOLOGY OF REAL ALGEBRAIC SETS

CLINT MCCRORY AND ADAM PARUSIŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We study the Euler characteristic of the real Milnor fibres of a real analytic map, using a relation between complex monodromy and complex conjugation. We deduce the result of Coste and Kurdyka that the Euler characteristic of the link of an irreducible algebraic subset of a real algebraic set is generically constant modulo 4. We generalize this result to iterated links of ordered families of algebraic subsets.

INTRODUCTION

According to Sullivan [Su], if X is a real analytic variety and x is a point of X , then the link of x in X has even Euler characteristic,

$$\chi(lk(x; X)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Recently Coste and Kurdyka [CK] proved that if X is a real algebraic variety and Y is an irreducible algebraic subvariety, then there exists a proper subvariety Z of Y such that for all points x and x' in $Y \setminus Z$,

$$\chi(lk_x(Y; X)) \equiv \chi(lk_{x'}(Y; X)) \pmod{4}.$$

Here $lk_x(Y; X)$ denotes the link at x of Y in X .

We present a new proof of this result using the monodromy of the complex Milnor fibre. If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a real analytic function and $f(x) = 0$, let $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ be a complexification of f , and let F be the Milnor fibre of $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ at x . Then a geometric monodromy homeomorphism $h : F \rightarrow F$ can be chosen so that $chch = 1$, where c is complex conjugation. (A special case of this monodromy relation — for weighted homogeneous polynomials — was first discovered by Dimca and Paunescu [DP].) The equation $chch = 1$ has implications for the action of c on eigenspaces of the algebraic monodromy h_* . As a consequence, the difference between the Euler characteristics of the *real* Milnor fibers of f over $+\delta$ and $-\delta$ can be expressed in terms of the dimensions of generalized eigenspaces of h_* . Applying this result to a nonnegative defining function f for Y in X , we recover the Coste-Kurdyka theorem (Theorem 1.5).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14P25, 32S50. Secondary: 14P15, 32S40.

Key words and phrases. Real algebraic set, link, Euler characteristic, Milnor fibre, monodromy.

Research partially supported by a University of Sydney Research Grant. First author also partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9403887.

We generalize the Coste-Kurdyka theorem as follows. The above method applied to the complex Milnor fibre of an ordered family of functions $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ gives a relation between the Euler characteristics of the real Milnor fibres over the points $(\pm\delta_1, \dots, \pm\delta_k)$. This in turn gives information about the Euler characteristic of the *iterated link* of an ordered family $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ of algebraic subsets of X . We prove that $\chi(lk_x(X_1, \dots, X_k; X))$ is divisible by 2^k , and that as x varies along an irreducible algebraic subset Y of X , $\chi(lk_x(X_1, \dots, X_k; X))$ is generically constant mod 2^{k+1} (Theorem 2.8). A special case of this result was proved by Coste and Kurdyka [CK] using quite different methods.

1. THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF REAL MILNOR FIBRES AND COMPLEX MONODROMY

1.1. Complex conjugation and monodromy.

Let X be an analytic subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a real analytic function defined in a neighbourhood of $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$. Let $X_{\mathbf{C}} \subset \mathbf{C}^n$, $f_{\mathbf{C}}: X_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be complexifications of X and f , respectively. Then the Milnor fibration of $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ at x_0 (see, for instance, [Lê], [Mi]) is the map

$$\Psi: B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(S_{\delta}) \rightarrow S_{\delta},$$

induced by $f_{\mathbf{C}}$, where $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ is the ball in $\mathbf{C}^n$ centered at x_0 with radius ε , S_{δ} is the circle in $\mathbf{C}$ with radius δ , and $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. The fibre of Ψ is called the Milnor fibre of $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ at x_0 . We are particularly interested in the fibres over the real numbers,

$$F = \Psi^{-1}(\delta), \quad F' = \Psi^{-1}(-\delta).$$

Let $h: F \rightarrow F$ be the geometric monodromy homeomorphism determined (up to homotopy) by Ψ . The automorphism induced at the homology level $h_*: H_*(F; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_*(F; \mathbf{C})$ is called the algebraic monodromy.

Since $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a complexification of a real analytic function, complex conjugation acts on Ψ fixing F and F' as sets. We denote this action restricted to F and F' by c and c' , respectively. It was noticed in [DP] that, for weighted homogeneous f , the monodromy homeomorphism h and the complex conjugation c on F satisfy $chch = 1$. We shall show that, in general, we may always choose h such that this relation holds. This will allow us to describe, for arbitrary f , the relation between the induced automorphisms h_*, c_* on homology.

Now we construct a special geometric monodromy h compatible with complex conjugation. A trivialization of Ψ over the upper semi-circle $S_{\delta}^+ = \{z \in S_{\delta} \mid \operatorname{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$ induces a homeomorphism

$$g: F \rightarrow F'.$$

Then

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{g} = c'gc: F \rightarrow F'$$

comes from the conjugate trivialization of Ψ over the lower semi-circle and

$$h = \bar{g}^{-1}g,$$

is a monodromy homeomorphism associated to Ψ .

Let $E_{\lambda,m} = \ker(h_* - \lambda \mathbf{I})^m$. Then $E_{\lambda,1}$ is the eigenspace of h_* corresponding to the eigenvalue λ and $E_{\lambda,N}$, for N large enough, is the generalized eigenspace corresponding to λ . Note also that c , and so c_* , is an involution, that is $c^2 = 1$.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $g: F \rightarrow F'$, $\bar{g}: F \rightarrow F'$ and $h = \bar{g}^{-1}g$ be as above. Then*

- (i) *ch corresponds via g to c' , that is $g^{-1}c'g = ch$. In particular, $chch = 1$;*
- (ii) *c_* interchanges the eigenspaces of h_* corresponding to conjugate eigenvalues, that is*

$$c_*E_{\lambda,m} = E_{\bar{\lambda},m}.$$

Proof. (i) follows directly from the definition of h . Indeed, by (1.1) $\bar{g}^{-1} = cg^{-1}c'$, which gives

$$ch = c\bar{g}^{-1}g = c(cg^{-1}c')g = g^{-1}c'g,$$

as required.

By (i)

$$c_*h_*c_* = h_*^{-1},$$

which gives by induction on m

$$c_*h_*^m c_* = h_*^{-m}.$$

This implies

$$h_*^m c_*(h_* - \lambda \mathbf{I})^m c_* = h_*^m (h_*^{-1} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^m = (\mathbf{I} - \lambda h_*)^m = \lambda^m (\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{I} - h_*)^m.$$

Taking the kernels of both sides of the above equality we get $c_*E_{\lambda,m} = E_{\lambda^{-1},m}$. Then (ii) follows from the monodromy theorem [Lê], which says that all eigenvalues of h_* are roots of unity; in particular $\lambda^{-1} = \bar{\lambda}$. $\square$

1.2. Real Milnor fibres.

Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be, as above, a real analytic function defined in a neighbourhood of x_0 with $f(x_0) = 0$. Then the real analogue of the Milnor fibration does not exist. Nevertheless, we may define the positive and the negative Milnor fibres of f at x_0 by

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ &= B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap f^{-1}(\delta), \\ F_- &= B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap f^{-1}(-\delta), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, and $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ is now the ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$. In general, F_+ and F_- are not homeomorphic.

Let $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ be a complexification of f . Consider the associated Milnor fibration Ψ described in Section 1.1. Then the positive real Milnor fibre F_+ is the fixed point set of the action of complex conjugation c on the complex Milnor fibre $F = \Psi^{-1}(\delta)$. In particular, by the Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem, the Euler characteristic of F_+ equals the Lefschetz number of c , that is

$$\chi(F_+) = L(c) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(c_i: H_i(F; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_i(F; \mathbf{C})).$$

Analogously,

$$\chi(F_-) = L(c') = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(c'_i: H_i(F'; \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_i(F'; \mathbf{C})).$$

The following observation establishes a link between the complex monodromy h and the real Milnor fibres of f . It plays a crucial role in our interpretation and generalizations of the Coste-Kurdyka results in terms of complex monodromy.

Proposition 1.2. $\chi(F_+) - \chi(F_-)$ is always even, and

$$\chi(F_+) - \chi(F_-) \equiv 2l(h; -1) \pmod{4},$$

where, for the eigenvalue λ ,

$$l(h; \lambda) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim E_\lambda(h_i),$$

and $E_\lambda(h_i) = \ker(h_*|_{H_i(F, \mathbf{C})} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^N$, for N large enough.

Proof. By Proposition 1.1 (i)

$$\chi(F_+) - \chi(F_-) = L(c) - L(ch).$$

By Proposition 1.1 (ii), for $\lambda \neq -1, 1$, c_* interchanges $E_\lambda(h_i)$ and $E_{\bar{\lambda}}(h_i)$. Hence, the trace of c_* on $E_\lambda(h_i) \oplus E_{\bar{\lambda}}(h_i)$ is 0. Consequently, in the calculation of $L(c)$ only the eigenvalues -1 and 1 matter. Both $E_{-1}(h_i)$ and $E_1(h_i)$ are preserved by c_i and $h_i = h_*|_{H_i(F, \mathbf{C})}$. By Proposition 1.1 (ii), c_i preserves the filtration

$$E_1(h_i) = \ker(h_i - \text{Id})^N \supset \dots \supset \ker(h_i - \text{Id})^1 \supset \{0\}.$$

On the quotient spaces of this filtration, h_i acts as the identity, and hence $c_i \equiv (ch)_i$. This shows, by additivity of trace,

$$\text{Tr}(c_i|_{E_1(h_i)}) - \text{Tr}((ch)_i|_{E_1(h_i)}) = 0.$$

Hence the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ does not contribute to $L(c) - L(ch)$. By a similar argument

$$\text{Tr}(c_i|_{E_{-1}(h_i)}) = -\text{Tr}((ch)_i|_{E_{-1}(h_i)}).$$

This gives

$$\chi(F_+) - \chi(F_-) = 2 \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(c_i|_{E_{-1}(h_i)}).$$

Since c is an involution, it can have only $-1, 1$ as eigenvalues. This implies

$$\text{Tr}(c_i|_{E_{-1}(h_i)}) \equiv \dim E_{-1}(h_i) \pmod{2},$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark. By a similar argument, $\chi(F_+) + \chi(F_-)$ is even and

$$\chi(F_+) + \chi(F_-) \equiv 2l(h; 1) \pmod{4}.$$

1.3. Tubular neighbourhoods and links.

Fix an algebraic set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, and let Y be a compact algebraic subset of X . We can always find a non-negative proper polynomial function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defining Y ; that is $Y = f^{-1}(0)$. Then, for $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently small,

$$T(Y, X) = f^{-1}[0, \delta]$$

is a tubular neighbourhood of Y in X . By $lk(Y; X)$, *the link of Y in X* , we mean the boundary of $T(Y, X)$, that is

$$lk(Y; X) = f^{-1}(\delta).$$

If $Y = \{x_0\}$, then $lk(Y; X)$ is called the link of x_0 in X and denoted by $lk(x_0; X)$. (For the dependence of $lk(Y; X)$ on f and δ see Remark 1.4 below.)

Let Y be smooth at x_0 and let N_{x_0} be the normal space in $\mathbf{R}^n$ to Y at x_0 . Following [CK] we define the link of Y in X at x_0 as $lk(x_0; X \cap N_{x_0})$ and we denote it by $lk_{x_0}(Y; X)$. Note that $lk_{x_0}(Y; X)$ is defined only at a generic point of Y ; that is x_0 has to be a nonsingular point of Y , and X has to be sufficiently equisingular along Y at x_0 so that $lk(x_0; X \cap N_{x_0})$ does not depend on the choice of N_{x_0} . We give a different definition which make sense at any point of Y . Let f be a non-negative polynomial defining Y . For $x_0 \in X$ define *the localization at x_0 of $lk(Y; X)$* as the positive Milnor fibre of f at x_0 ; that is

$$\tilde{lk}_{x_0}(Y; X) = B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap f^{-1}(\delta),$$

where $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$.

Let x_0 be a generic point of Y . In particular we assume that, near x_0 , Y is nonsingular and is a stratum of a Whitney stratification which satisfies the Thom condition a_f . Then, by Thom's Isotopy Lemmas (cf. [G]), there is a stratified homeomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{lk}_{x_0}(Y; X) \cong lk_{x_0}(Y; X) \times B^d,$$

where d is the dimension of Y at x_0 and B^d denotes the ball of dimension d . Then, in particular, $\tilde{lk}_{x_0}(Y; X)$ and $lk_{x_0}(Y; X)$ are homotopy equivalent. Note that for the localized link $\tilde{lk}_{x_0}(Y; X)$ we do not need the compactness of Y ; the assumption that Y is closed in X is sufficient.

Note that the notion of the link of a subset can be reduced to that of the link of a point, since any real algebraic subset Y of X can be contracted to a point so that X/Y naturally has the structure of a real algebraic set (see, for instance, [BCR, Prop. 3.5.5]).

All the above definitions and remarks make perfect sense if X and Y are closed semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathbf{R}^n$, and f is chosen continuous and semi-algebraic. In particular, choosing a semi-algebraic triangulation of (X, Y) , which exists and is unique up to isotopy by [SY], we see that links in the PL category are special cases of semi-algebraic links.

Similarly one defines the tubular neighbourhood and the link of Y in a closed semi-algebraic set of X , or in a finite family closed semi-algebraic subsets of X , and so in any semi-algebraic stratification X .

Finally, let U be an arbitrary (not necessarily closed) semi-algebraic subset of X , and let $Y \subset X$ be compact and semi-algebraic. Then the tubular neighbourhood and link of Y in U can be defined similarly by

$$T(Y, U) = f^{-1}[0, \delta] \cap U, \quad lk(Y; U) = f^{-1}(\delta) \cap U.$$

Any semi-algebraic subset U of X is the union of some strata of a semi-algebraic stratification of X . This allows us to use stratifications to study the properties of such links and tubular neighbourhoods, in particular to show that they are well-defined up to homeomorphism.

Lemma 1.3. *Let Y be a compact semi-algebraic subset of X , and let U be another semi-algebraic (not necessarily closed) subset of X . Then the following spaces are homotopy equivalent:*

$$Y \sim Y \cup T(Y, U), \quad U \setminus Y \sim \overline{U \setminus T(Y, U)},$$

where the closure is taken in U . In particular,

$$(1.3) \quad \chi(lk(Y; U)) = \chi(Y) + \chi(U \setminus Y) - \chi(U \cup Y).$$

Proof. For U closed in X the statement follows, for instance, from the triangulability of the pair (Y, U) . If U is not closed, then we can find a semi-algebraic stratification compatible with Y and U , and then simultaneously triangulate the closures of the strata.

The last statement follows from

$$lk(Y; U) = T(Y, U) \cap \overline{U \setminus T(Y, U)}.$$

The details are left to the reader. $\square$

Remark 1.4. (Uniqueness of links and tubular neighbourhoods)

- (a) For real algebraic (or even closed semi-algebraic) $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, the link at a point is well-defined up to semi-algebraic homeomorphism [CK, Prop. 1]. A similar result holds for the link of Y in X at x_0 [loc. cit.].
- (b) Uniqueness up to stratified homeomorphism was established also in [Du, Prop. 1.7, Prop. 3.5].
- (c) In [DS] the authors define a functor which allows one to study the sheaf cohomology of links without referring to the actual construction of the link. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a (semi-algebraically) constructible bounded complex of sheaves on $U = X \setminus Y$. Denote by $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$, $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ the embeddings. Then the local link cohomology functor Λ_Y of Y in X is defined by

$$\Lambda_Y \mathcal{F} = i^* Rj_* \mathcal{F}.$$

In particular, it is shown in [loc. cit] that

$$(1.4) \quad H^*(lk(Y; X); \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{H}^*(Y; \Lambda_Y \mathbf{Q}_U),$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_U$ is the constant sheaf on U and $\mathbf{H}$ denotes hypercohomology. Clearly the right-hand side of (1.4) does not depend on the choice of the link. Let $x_0 \in Y$ and denote by i_{x_0} the embedding of x_0 in Y . Using arguments similar to [DS], one may show that the cohomology of $\tilde{lk}_{x_0}(Y; X)$ equals the stalk cohomology of $\Lambda_Y \mathbf{Q}_U = i^* Rj_* \mathbf{Q}_U$; that is

$$H^*(\tilde{lk}_{x_0}(Y; X); \mathbf{Q}) = H^*(\Lambda_Y \mathbf{Q}_U)_{x_0}.$$

1.4. The Coste-Kurdyka Theorem.

Let X be an algebraic subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and let Y be an algebraic subset of X . By a theorem of Sullivan [Su], for any $x \in X$, the Euler characteristic $\chi(lk(x; X))$ of the link of X at x is even. Hence the same is true for $\chi(lk_x(Y; X))$, for x generic in Y , since then $lk_x(Y; X) = lk(x; X \cap N_x)$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a non-negative polynomial such that $f^{-1}(0) = Y$ and let $X_{\mathbf{C}}, Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{C}}: X_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be complexifications of X, Y and f respectively (see, e.g. [BR, §3.3]). Consider the real and complex Milnor fibres of f and $f_{\mathbf{C}}$, respectively, at $x \in Y$. The negative real Milnor fibre F_- is empty, and the positive real Milnor fibre F_+ is the localized link $\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X)$. Consequently, by Proposition 1.2, $\chi(\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X))$ is always even and

$$(1.5) \quad \chi(\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X)) \equiv 2l(h_x; -1) \pmod{4},$$

where h_x denotes the complex monodromy induced by the Milnor fibration at x .

Assume now that Y is an irreducible algebraic set. Then $Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ is also irreducible (see e.g. [BR, §3.3]). Note that the left hand side of (1.5) is constant on strata of some semi-algebraic stratification of Y . Nevertheless, the generic value of the Euler characteristic $\chi(lk_x(Y; X))$ along Y may not be well-defined. Indeed, even for irreducible Y , a semi-algebraic stratification of Y may have more than one open stratum.

The right hand side of (1.5) makes sense for any $x \in Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ and is constant on strata of some algebraic stratification of $Y_{\mathbf{C}}$. For instance, it suffices to take the restriction to $Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ of a Whitney stratification of $X_{\mathbf{C}}$ which satisfies the Thom condition $a_{f_{\mathbf{C}}}$. Now, if $Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ is irreducible, then there is only one open (and dense) stratum S_0 in $Y_{\mathbf{C}}$. Thus, it makes sense to talk about $l(h_x; -1)$ for generic $x \in Y_{\mathbf{C}}$. Since $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(Y_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus S_0) < \dim_{\mathbf{C}} Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ we have $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(Y \setminus S_0) < \dim_{\mathbf{R}} Y$. Hence, (1.5) implies the following:

Theorem 1.5. (*Coste-Kurdyka* [CK, Theorem 1'])

Let Y be an irreducible real algebraic subset of X . Then the Euler characteristic $\chi(lk_x(Y; X))$ of the link of Y in X at x is generically constant modulo 4; that is, there exists a real algebraic subset $Z \subset Y$, with $\dim Z < \dim Y$, such that for any $x, x' \in Y \setminus Z$,

$$\chi(lk_x(Y; X)) \equiv \chi(lk_{x'}(Y; X)) \pmod{4}. \quad \square$$

Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.5 is equivalent to the constancy along Y of $\chi(lk(x; X)) \pmod{4}$. Indeed, let x be a generic point of Y . Then Y is nonsingular of dimension $d = \dim Y$ at x , and there is a homeomorphism

$$lk(x; X) \cong lk_x(Y; X) * S^{d-1},$$

where $*$ denotes the join. This, together with (1.2), implies

$$\chi(lk(x; X)) = \begin{cases} 2 - \chi(\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X)) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ \chi(\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X)) & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

At special points of Y the relation between $\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X)$ and $lk(x; X)$ is more delicate. Using arguments similar to the proof of Lemma 1.3, the interested reader may check that at an arbitrary point x of Y ,

$$\chi(lk(x; X)) = \chi(\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X)) + \chi(lk(x; Y)) - \chi(lk(\{x\}, Y; X)),$$

where $lk(\{\{x\}, Y\}; X)$ is the iterated link defined in Section 2.3 below. In particular, by Theorem 2.8,

$$\chi(lk(x; X)) \equiv \chi(\tilde{lk}_x(Y; X)) + \chi(lk(x; Y)) \pmod{4}.$$

2. GENERALIZATIONS

2.1. Monodromies induced by a finite ordered set of functions.

Let X be a complex analytic subset of $\mathbf{C}^n$ and let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k): X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^k$ be a complex analytic morphism defined in a neighbourhood of $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$. If $k > 1$ then, in general, it is not possible to define the Milnor fibre of f at x_0 unambiguously (see, for instance, [Sa]). Nevertheless, if we consider $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ as an ordered set of complex valued functions then, as we show below, the notion of Milnor fibre and the Milnor fibration make perfect sense.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k): X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^k$ be a complex analytic morphism and let $x_0 \in Y = f^{-1}(0)$. Then f induces a locally trivial topological fibration*

$$\Psi: B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap f^{-1}(T_\delta^k) \rightarrow T_\delta^k,$$

where $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ and ε are chosen such that $0 < \delta_k \ll \dots \ll \delta_1 \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, and T_δ^k is the torus $\{(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbf{C}^k \mid |z_i| = \delta_i, i = 1, \dots, k\}$.

Moreover,

- (i) up to a fibred homeomorphism, the map $\Psi = \Psi(f, x_0)$ does not depend on the choice of δ and ε ;
- (ii) there exists a stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of $Y = f^{-1}(0)$ such that, as x_0 varies in a stratum of $\mathcal{S}$, the type of the map $\Psi(f, x_0)$ is locally constant up to fibred homeomorphism.

Proof. The statement is well known if f is ‘sans éclatement’, in particular if there exist Whitney stratifications of X and $\mathbf{C}^k$ which stratify f with the Thom condition a_f . Such stratifications always exists if $k = 1$. The general case can be reduced to the ‘sans éclatement’ case by Théorème 1 of [Sa], or derived directly from Lagrange specialization. We present the latter argument.

First recall briefly the proof for $k = 1$. Choose a Whitney stratification of X compatible with $Y = f^{-1}(0)$. Define the projectivized relative conormal space to f as

$$C_f = \bigcup_S \overline{\{(x, H) \in \mathbf{C}^n \times \check{\mathbf{P}}^{n-1} \mid x \in S, H \supset T_x f|_S\}},$$

where $T_x f|_S$ denotes the tangent space to the level of $f|_S$ through x , and the union is taken over all strata $S \subset X \setminus Y$. Let $\pi: C_f \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the composition of f with the standard projection $C_f \rightarrow X$. Then, by construction, $\pi^{-1}(\lambda)$, for $\lambda \neq 0$ and sufficiently small, is a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^n \times \check{\mathbf{P}}^{n-1} = \mathbf{P}T^*\mathbf{C}^n$. By Lagrange specialization (see, for instance, [HMS, Cor. 4.2.1] or [LT]) the same is true for $\lambda = 0$, and then

$$\pi^{-1}(0) = \bigcup C_{Y_\alpha},$$

where Y_α are analytic subsets of Y and C_{Y_α} are their (absolute) conormal spaces. Then any stratification compatible with $\{Y_\alpha\}$ satisfies the Thom condition a_f .

For $k > 1$ this argument fails only if the dimension of $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is bigger than that of $\pi^{-1}(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, that is $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \pi^{-1}(0) > n - 1$. But as we show below in Lemma 2.2, the dimension of the set of limits $\pi^{-1}(\lambda)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \rightarrow 0$, along a cuspidal neighbourhood $0 < |\lambda_k| \ll \dots \ll |\lambda_1| \ll 1$, cannot jump, and so in our case it stays constant. Hence the limit is a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^n \times \check{\mathbf{P}}^{n-1}$. Now using a standard argument we may refine any Whitney stratification of X compatible with Y to a stratification which satisfies the Thom condition a_f for all limits $x \rightarrow x_0 \in Y$ such that $0 < |f_k(x)| \ll \dots \ll |f_1(x)| \ll 1$. Then the statement follows by standard arguments of stratification theory as in the case $k = 1$.

To complete the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have to show that taking limits along a cuspidal neighbourhood does not increase the dimension of the fibre. This is a general fact which holds also in the real analytic case. We present a proof based on standard properties of subanalytic sets and the Łojasiewicz Inequality (see, for instance, [BM]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let Z be a compact subanalytic subset of $\mathbf{R}^N$ and let $\varphi: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ be a continuous subanalytic mapping. Given positive real numbers $m_1, \dots, m_k$, consider the space of limits of $\varphi^{-1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \rightarrow 0$, over a cuspidal neighbourhood*

$$\Gamma = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^k \mid 0 < |\lambda_k|^{m_k} < \dots < |\lambda_1|^{m_1}\},$$

that is,

$$Z_{\Gamma,0} = \varphi^{-1}(0) \cap \overline{\varphi^{-1}(\Gamma)}.$$

Then, if $\frac{m_1}{m_2}, \dots, \frac{m_{k-1}}{m_k}$ are sufficiently large,

$$\dim Z_{\Gamma,0} \leq \dim Z - k.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on k , the case $k = 1$ being obvious.

Let $Z' = \varphi_k^{-1}(0)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $Z = \overline{Z' \setminus Z'}$. Hence $\dim Z' \leq \dim Z - 1$. Let $\varphi' = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}): Z' \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{k-1}$. By inductive assumption, for $\frac{m_1}{m_2}, \dots, \frac{m_{k-2}}{m_{k-1}}$ sufficiently large and $\Gamma' = \{\lambda' \in \mathbf{R}^{k-1} \mid 0 < |\lambda_{k-1}|^{m_{k-1}} < \dots < |\lambda_1|^{m_1}\}$, the set

$$Z'_{\Gamma',0} = \varphi'^{-1}(0) \cap \overline{\varphi'^{-1}(\Gamma')}$$

is of dimension not greater than $\dim Z - k$. Thus to complete the inductive step we show that for m_k small enough

$$(2.1) \quad Z'_{\Gamma',0} = Z_{\Gamma,0}.$$

The inclusion $\subset$ of (2.1) is obvious. To show $\supset$ we replace Z by

$$\tilde{Z} = \overline{\{x \in Z \mid 0 < |\varphi_{k-1}(x)|^{m_{k-1}} < \dots < |\varphi_1(x)|^{m_1}\}},$$

and in what follows we shall work on $\tilde{Z}$. Since $\text{dist}(x, Z')$ and φ_k are continuous subanalytic functions with the same zero sets, by the Łojasiewicz Inequality, for m sufficiently large,

$$[\text{dist}(x, Z')]^m \leq |\varphi_k(x)|.$$

Also by the Łojasiewicz Inequality, for M sufficiently large,

$$\text{dist}(x, \varphi^{-1}(0)) \geq |\varphi_{k-1}(x)|^M.$$

We claim that (2.1) is satisfied provided $m_k < \frac{m_{k-1}}{mM}$. Indeed, then $|\varphi_k(x)|^{m_k} < |\varphi_{k-1}(x)|^{m_{k-1}}$ implies

$$\text{dist}(x, Z') \leq |\varphi_k(x)|^{1/m} < |\varphi_{k-1}(x)|^{\frac{m_{k-1}}{m m_k}} < |\varphi_{k-1}(x)|^M \leq \text{dist}(x, \varphi^{-1}(0)),$$

which implies $\supset$ in (2.1). This completes the proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. $\square$

We call the map Ψ defined in Lemma 2.1 *the Milnor fibration* and its fibre *the Milnor fibre of the ordered family of functions* $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ *at* x_0 . Such a fibration defines, up to homotopy, homeomorphisms $h_i: F \rightarrow F$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, called the geometric monodromy homeomorphisms. Since the fundamental group of T_δ^k is commutative, the induced homomorphisms on homology (the algebraic monodromies) commute.

The sheaf cohomology of the Milnor fibre of $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ can be defined in terms of neighbouring cycles. Recall that for $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and a constructible bounded complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on X , the sheaf of neighbouring cycles $\psi_f \mathcal{F}$ (in fact, again a complex of sheaves) on $X_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ is defined as follows [KS, p. 350]. Let

$$\psi_f \mathcal{F} = i^* R(j \circ \tilde{\pi})_*(j \circ \tilde{\pi})^* \mathcal{F},$$

where $i: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$, $j: X \setminus X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ denote the embeddings, and $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \setminus X_0$ is the cyclic covering of $X \setminus X_0$ induced from the universal covering of $\mathbf{C}^*$ by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi = \exp \\ X \setminus X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{C}^* \end{array}$$

Then, for $x \in X_0$ and the Milnor fibre $F = B(x, \varepsilon) \cap f^{-1}(\delta)$,

$$H^i(F; \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\psi_f \mathcal{F})_x.$$

In general, if F is the Milnor fibre of $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ at $x \in f^{-1}(0)$, then

$$(2.2) \quad H^i(F; \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\psi_{f_1} \psi_{f_2} \cdots \psi_{f_k} \mathcal{F})_x.$$

We show this by induction on k . Choose a Whitney stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of $F'' = f_3^{-1}(0) \cap \dots \cap f_k^{-1}(0)$ such that $\psi_{f_2} \cdots \psi_{f_k} \mathcal{F}$ is constructible with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, and satisfies the Thom condition a_{f_2} . Then for a regular value δ_1 of f_1 restricted to all strata of $\mathcal{S}$

$$(\psi_{f_2} \psi_{f_3} \cdots \psi_{f_k} \mathcal{F})|_{f_1^{-1}(\delta_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(0) \cap F''} = \psi_{f_2}((\psi_{f_3} \cdots \psi_{f_k} \mathcal{F})|_{f_1^{-1}(\delta_1) \cap F''}).$$

In particular, we may take $\delta_1 \neq 0$ and sufficiently small. Repeating this procedure, we show

$$(\psi_{f_2} \cdots \psi_{f_k} \mathcal{F})|_{f_1^{-1}(\delta_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(0) \cap F''} = \psi_{f_2} \psi_{f_3} \cdots \psi_{f_k} (\mathcal{F}|_{f_1^{-1}(\delta_1)}).$$

Hence, for $F' = B(x, \varepsilon) \cap f_1^{-1}(\delta_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(0) \cap \dots \cap f_k^{-1}(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(\psi_{f_1} \psi_{f_2} \dots \psi_{f_k} \mathcal{F})_x &= H^i(F'; \psi_{f_2} \dots \psi_{f_k} \mathcal{F}) \\ &= H^i(F'; \psi_{f_2} \dots \psi_{f_k} (\mathcal{F}|_{f_1^{-1}(\delta_1)})) \\ &= H^i(F; \mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation follows from the inductive assumption applied to the sheaf $\mathcal{F}|_{f_1^{-1}(\delta_1)}$ on $X \cap f_1^{-1}(\delta_1)$ and the set of functions $\{f_2, \dots, f_k\}$.

2.2. Real Milnor fibres of a finite ordered set of functions.

Let X be a real analytic subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$, and let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k): X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ be a real analytic map defined in a neighbourhood of $x_0 \in X$. Let $X_{\mathbf{C}}$, $f_{\mathbf{C}}$ be complexifications of X and f , respectively. In particular, by Lemma 2.1, $\{f_{1\mathbf{C}}, \dots, f_{k\mathbf{C}}\}$, as an ordered set, induces the Milnor fibration Ψ at x_0 .

Similarly, for each $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k$, we may then define the real Milnor fibre

$$F_{\gamma} = B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap f^{-1}((-1)^{\gamma_1} \delta_1, \dots, (-1)^{\gamma_k} \delta_k),$$

where $0 < \delta_k \ll \dots \ll \delta_1 \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ now denotes the ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$.

Complex conjugation acts on Ψ and preserves each fibre

$$F_{\mathbf{C}, \gamma} = \Psi^{-1}((-1)^{\gamma_1} \delta_1, \dots, (-1)^{\gamma_k} \delta_k).$$

Denote the restriction of this action to $F_{\mathbf{C}, \gamma}$ by c_{γ} . Then F_{γ} is the fixed point set of c_{γ} .

As in Section 1.1, we construct complex monodromies $h_{\gamma}: F \rightarrow F$ compatible with complex conjugation, that is satisfying

$$g_{\gamma}^{-1} c_{\gamma} g_{\gamma} = ch_{\gamma},$$

where $g_{\gamma}: F \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{C}, \gamma}$ is a homeomorphism. Since the fundamental group of the base space T_{δ}^k of Ψ is commutative, all the h_{γ} commute up to homotopy. In particular the induced automorphisms on homology $h_{\gamma, *}$ are generated by those which come from $\gamma(j) = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, 1 in the j -th place. Denote $h_{\gamma(j)}$ by $h_{(j)}$ for short. Thus for $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$,

$$h_{\gamma, *} = \prod_{j=1}^k h_{(j), *}^{\gamma_j}.$$

For the set of eigenvalues $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and multiplicities $m = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$, we let $E_{\lambda, m} = \bigcap_j \ker(h_{(j), *} - \lambda_j \mathbf{I})^{m_j}$. Then the argument of the proof of Proposition 1.1 generalizes, and we have the following:

Proposition 2.3. *Let g_{γ} and h_{γ} and c_{γ} be as above. Then:*

- (i) *via g_{γ} , complex conjugation c_{γ} on $F_{\mathbf{C}, \gamma}$ corresponds to ch_{γ} on F ; in particular, $ch_{\gamma} ch_{\gamma} = 1$;*
- (ii) *c_* interchanges the common eigenspaces of $h_{(j), *}$ corresponding to conjugate eigenvalues, that is*

$$c_* E_{\lambda, m} = E_{\bar{\lambda}, m},$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_k)$. $\square$

By Proposition 2.3 (i), the Euler characteristic of the real Milnor fibre F_γ is given by the Lefschetz number

$$\chi(F_\gamma) = L(ch_\gamma).$$

For the eigenvalues $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, let

$$E_\lambda(h_i) = \bigcap_j \ker(h_{(j),*}|_{H_i(F, \mathbf{C})} - \lambda_j \mathbf{I})^N,$$

for N sufficiently large. Let

$$l(h; \lambda) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim E_\lambda(h_i).$$

For $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$ we let $|\gamma| = \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j$. Then argument of the proof of Proposition 1.2 generalizes and gives:

Proposition 2.4. $\sum_\gamma (-1)^{|\gamma|} \chi(F_\gamma)$ is divisible by 2^k and

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_\gamma (-1)^{|\gamma|} \chi(F_\gamma) \equiv 2^k l(h; (-1, \dots, -1)) \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$

$\square$

2.3. Iterated links.

Let X be a compact algebraic subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$, and let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be an ordered family of algebraic (or closed semi-algebraic) subsets of X . Then we define *the link of $\mathcal{X}$ in X* as

$$lk(\mathcal{X}; X) = f_1^{-1}(\delta_1) \cap \dots \cap f_k^{-1}(\delta_k),$$

where $f_i: X_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are non-negative polynomials (or continuous semi-algebraic functions) with zero sets X_i , and the δ_i 's are chosen such that $0 < \delta_k \ll \dots \ll \delta_1 \ll 1$. Similarly, we define the localized link $\tilde{lk}_{x_0}(\mathcal{X}; X)$. Note that $lk(\mathcal{X}; X)$ depends on the ordering of the X_i 's, but it does not depend on the choice of the f_i 's and δ_i 's; we show this in Remark 2.6 below.

For a given family $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of subsets of X , we usually let $X_{k+1} = X$ and $X_0 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be an ordered family of closed semi-algebraic subsets of X , and let $U_i = X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad \chi(lk(\mathcal{X}; X)) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k+1} \chi(U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}),$$

and locally at any $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$(2.5) \quad \chi(\tilde{lk}_x(\mathcal{X}; X)) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k+1} \chi(lk(x; U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j})).$$

Proof. For $k = 1$, (2.4) follows from (1.3) of Lemma 1.3;

$$\chi(lk(X_1; X)) = \chi(X_1) + \chi(X \setminus X_1) - \chi(X),$$

since $X_1 = U_1$, $X \setminus X_1 = U_2$ and $X = U_1 \cup U_2$.

Let $f_1: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a non-negative polynomial function defining X_1 . For the inductive step choose δ_1 so small that Lemma 1.3 holds for $Y = X_1$ and for all $U = U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j} \cup Y$, $1 < i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k+1$. Since $U_1 = X_1$, this gives

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \chi((U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}) \cap f_1^{-1}(\delta_1)) \\ &= \chi(U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}) + \chi(U_1) - \chi(U_1 \cup U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}), \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k+1$.

Apply the inductive hypothesis to the filtration $\mathcal{X}' = \{X'_i\}_{i=2}^k$ given by $X'_i = X_i \cap f_1^{-1}(\delta)$. By construction, $lk(\mathcal{X}; X) = lk(\mathcal{X}'; X \cap f_1^{-1}(\delta))$. The inductive hypothesis gives

$$\chi(lk(\mathcal{X}'; X \cap f_1^{-1}(\delta))) = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k+1} \chi((U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}) \cap f_1^{-1}(\delta_1)).$$

Now the result follows from (2.6), since the coefficient of $\chi(U_1)$ is $\sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k}{j} = 1$.

The proof of the local case is similar. $\square$

For $k = 2$ the right hand side of (2.4) equals

$$\chi(X_1) + \chi(X_2 \setminus X_1) + \chi(X \setminus X_2) - \chi(X_2) - \chi(X \setminus X_1) - \chi((X \setminus X_2) \cup X_1) + \chi(X).$$

This expression appears in [C, §8] in a context which we explain in the next section.

Remark 2.6.

a) Using Proposition 1 of [CK] we show that iterated links are well-defined up to semi-algebraic homeomorphism.

First consider the case of the link $lk(\mathcal{X}; X)$ of a family $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in X . It is more convenient to work in the semi-algebraic category, so we assume that X is semi-algebraic and X_i are compact semi-algebraic subsets of X . We show uniqueness by induction on k .

For $k = 1$ we may collapse X_1 to a point x_1 so there is a unique semi-algebraic structure on X/X_1 such that the projection $X \rightarrow X/X_1$ is semi-algebraic. Then $lk(X_1; X) = lk(x_1; X/X_1)$ has a unique semi-algebraic structure by [CK, Prop. 1]. Moreover, let $X'_i = X_i/X_1$, $i = 2, \dots, k$, be the induced semi-algebraic subsets of X/X_1 . Then, by [loc. cit.], $lk(x_1; X'_i/X_1)$ are well-defined semi-algebraic subsets of $lk(x_1; X/X_1)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \{lk(x_1; X'_i/X_1)\}_{i=2}^k$. It follows from the inductive hypothesis that

$$lk(\mathcal{X}; X) = lk(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}; X/X_1)$$

is unique up to semi-algebraic homeomorphism.

To show the uniqueness of localized links $\tilde{lk}_x(\mathcal{X}; X)$ we may argue as follows. First note that [loc. cit.] gives, in fact, the semi-algebraic invariance of semi-algebraic tubular

neighbourhoods. In particular, we may take $X \cap B(x, \varepsilon)$ as a representative of a neighbourhood of x in X . Then, we apply the above argument to $X \cap B(x, \varepsilon)$ and the family $\{X_i \cap B(x, \varepsilon)\}$.

b) For iterated links to be well-defined, we do not actually need the compactness of X . The compactness of $\cap_i X_i$ is sufficient. For localized links it suffices that the X_i are closed in X .

c) In Section 2.5 below we show that the iteration of the link operator of [DS] allows us to study the sheaf cohomology of iterated links. This shows independently that the sheaf cohomology of an iterated link is well-defined.

2.4. Generalizations of the Coste-Kurdyka result.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be an ordered family of closed algebraic subsets of the algebraic set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$; we do not assume X to be compact. Let

$$\Delta(\mathcal{X}, X) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k+1} \chi(U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}),$$

and similarly for $x \in X$,

$$\Delta_x(\mathcal{X}, X) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k+1} \chi(lk(x; U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j})),$$

where $U_i = X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, $X_0 = \emptyset$, $X_{k+1} = X$.

Remark 2.7. If X is compact, then by Lemma 2.5,

$$\Delta(\mathcal{X}, X) = \chi(lk(\mathcal{X}; X)), \quad \Delta_x(\mathcal{X}, X) = \chi(lk_x(\mathcal{X}; X)).$$

If X is not compact, we may compactify it by choosing an algebraic one-point compactification S of $\mathbf{R}^n$, such that $S \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ (see, for instance, [BCR, Prop. 3.5.3]). Let ∞ denote the point at infinity. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \{\tilde{X}_i = X_i \cup \{\infty\}\}$ is an ordered family of algebraic subsets of $\tilde{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$. Note that $\tilde{U}_i = \tilde{X}_i \setminus \tilde{X}_{i-1} = U_i$, except $\tilde{U}_1 = U_1 \cup \{\infty\}$. Thus, if $i_1 > 1$,

$$\chi(\tilde{U}_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \tilde{U}_{i_j}) = \chi(U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}).$$

If $i_1 = 1$, by Lemma 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\tilde{U}_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \tilde{U}_{i_j}) &= \chi(\{\infty\} \cup U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}) \\ &= \chi(U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j}) + 1 - \chi(lk(\infty; U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_j})). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, $\Delta(\mathcal{X}, X) = \Delta(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{X}) - \Delta(\mathcal{X}', X')$, where $\mathcal{X}' = \{lk(\infty; \tilde{X}_i)\}$ is the family of links at infinity of $\mathcal{X}$ and $X' = lk(\infty; \tilde{X})$. Thus, the study of $\Delta(\mathcal{X}, X)$ can be reduced to the case of compact X .

We will also consider parametrized families. For the standard projection $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ ($n \geq m$) and $t \in \mathbf{R}^m$, we denote by $\mathcal{X}_t$ the induced ordered family of algebraic subsets of $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$. Let t vary in an algebraic set $T \subset \mathbf{R}^m$. Then $\{\mathcal{X}_t\}$ is an ordered algebraic family of algebraic subsets of $X \cap \pi^{-1}(T)$, t is the parameter, and T is the parameter space.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be an ordered family of algebraic subsets of the algebraic set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Then*

- (i) *For any $x \in X$, $\Delta(\mathcal{X}, X)$ and $\Delta_x(\mathcal{X}, X)$ are divisible by 2^k ;*
- (ii) *Let x vary along an irreducible algebraic subset Y of X . Then $\Delta_x(\mathcal{X}, X)$ is generically constant modulo 2^{k+1} ; that is, there exists a real algebraic subset $Z \subset Y$, with $\dim Z < \dim Y$, such that for any $x, x' \in Y \setminus Z$,*

$$\Delta_{x'}(\mathcal{X}, X) \equiv \Delta_x(\mathcal{X}, X) \pmod{2^{k+1}};$$

- (iii) *Let t vary in an irreducible algebraic subset T of $\mathbf{R}^m$. Then $\Delta(\mathcal{X}_t, X_t)$ is generically constant modulo 2^{k+1} ; that is, there exists a real algebraic subset $Z \subset T$, with $\dim Z < \dim T$, such that for any $t, t' \in T \setminus Z$,*

$$\Delta(\mathcal{X}_{t'}, X_{t'}) \equiv \Delta(\mathcal{X}_t, X_t) \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$

This also holds for $k = 0$ for $\Delta(X_t) = \chi(X_t)$.

Proof. To show (i) for $\Delta_x(\mathcal{X}, X)$ and (ii) we just repeat the proof of Theorem 1.5 using Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5.

To show (i) for $\Delta(\mathcal{X}, X)$ we argue as follows. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial defining X . Then $f^2 - x_{n+1}^2$ defines the double cone $\tilde{X}$ over X in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. The link of the origin p_0 in X consists just of two copies of X . Similarly we define the family $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $X'_{i+1} = \tilde{X}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $X'_1 = \{p_0\}$. Then $\Delta_{p_0}(\mathcal{X}', \tilde{X}) = 2\Delta(\mathcal{X}, X)$, and the global case follows from the local case.

To show (iii) we may assume that $\pi(X) = T$ and that X is compact. Apply the above double cone construction to the fibres of π . Let Y be the zero section of this family of cones. Since Y is isomorphic to T , it is also irreducible. Then, by an argument similar to the above, (iii) follows from (ii). $\square$

Theorem 2.8 generalizes Théorème 5 and Proposition 4 of [C] and the Corollary after Lemma 3 of [CK]. Indeed, in the notation of Lemma 3 of [CK], for X_1, X_2 real algebraic sets, a nonsingular semi-algebraic set S such that $S \subset X_1 \subset X_2$, and x generic in S ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(S, X_1, X_2) &= \chi(lk_x(S; X_2) \setminus lk_x(S; X_1)) - \chi(lk_x(S; X_2)) + \chi(lk_x(S; X_1)) \\ &= \chi(lk_x(N_x \cap X_1; N_x \cap X_2)) \\ &= \Delta_x(S, X_1; X_2) \\ &= \chi(\tilde{l}k_x(S, X_1; X_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where N_x is the normal space at x to S in X_2 . Similarly, in the notation of Théorème 5 of [C], for algebraic subsets $Y_2 \subset Y_1$ of X and a nonsingular semi-algebraic set $S \subset Y_2$,

$$\Delta_3(S, Y_2, Y_1, X) = \chi(\tilde{l}k_x(\mathcal{Y}; X)),$$

where $\mathcal{Y} = \{S, Y_2, Y_1\}$.

Moreover, Theorem 2.8 shows that the dimensional assumptions of Lemma 3 of [CK] and Théorème 5 of [C], $\dim X_2 = \dim X_1 + 1 = \dim S + 1$ and $\dim X = \dim Y_1 + 1 = \dim Y_2 + 2 = \dim S + 3$, can be dropped. Also Theorem 2.8 answers positively the question stated in the last remark of [CK] and seems to be the result anticipated in Section 8 of [C].

2.5. The iterated link cohomology functor.

We reformulate our result in terms of the local link cohomology functor Λ_Y of [DS]. Let Y be a semi-algebraic closed subset of the algebraic set X . We do not assume that X or Y is compact. We modify slightly the definition of Remark 1.4 (c) so that now Λ_Y is defined only for bounded constructible sheaves on X ; that is

$$\Lambda_Y \mathcal{F} = i^* Rj_* j^* \mathcal{F},$$

where, as before, $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$, $j: X \setminus Y \hookrightarrow X$ denote the embeddings.

Suppose that we have a finite ordered family $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of closed semi-algebraic subsets of X . Then, for any $x \in X$,

$$(2.7) \quad H^*(\tilde{lk}_x(\mathcal{X}; X); \mathbf{Q}) = H^*(\Lambda_{X_1} \dots \Lambda_{X_k} \mathbf{Q}_X)_x.$$

Indeed, (2.7) can be considered as a real analogue of (2.2) with the same proof.

Moreover, suppose that $\bigcap_i X_i$ is compact. Then

$$H^*(lk(\mathcal{X}; X); \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{H}^*(Y; \Lambda_{X_1} \dots \Lambda_{X_k} \mathbf{Q}_X),$$

where $Y = \bigcap_i X_i$ and $\mathbf{H}$ denotes hypercohomology.

A function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is called semi-algebraically constructible if there exists a finite family $\{X_i\}$ of semi-algebraic subsets of X and integers c_i such that

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi = \sum_i c_i \mathbf{1}_{X_i}.$$

We refer the reader to [Sch] for the main properties of constructible functions. The theory of subanalytically constructible functions developed there holds also, of course, for semi-algebraically constructible functions.

Similarly one may define algebraically constructible functions on a real algebraic set X by demanding all the X_i in (2.8) to be algebraic. One can easily check that such basic operations on semi-algebraic constructible functions as the duality $D_X \varphi$ and the push-forward $f_* \varphi$, for a polynomial map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, do not preserve the family of algebraically constructible functions.

The following theorem is virtually equivalent to Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be an ordered family of algebraic subsets of the algebraic set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Then the stalk Euler characteristic of $\Lambda_{X_1} \dots \Lambda_{X_k} \mathbf{Q}_X$, that is*

$$\varphi(x) = \chi(H^*(\Lambda_{X_1} \dots \Lambda_{X_k} \mathbf{Q}_X)_x),$$

is always divisible by 2^k and algebraically constructible mod 2^{k+1} . $\square$

Define the link operator on the constructible function $\varphi = \sum_i c_i \mathbf{1}_{X_i}$ by

$$\Lambda \varphi(x) = \sum_i c_i \chi(lk(x; X_i)).$$

Then the duality operator of [Sch] satisfies

$$D_X \varphi(x) = \varphi(x) - \Lambda \varphi(x).$$

The following corollary follows from Theorem 1.5 (the original Coste-Kurdyka Theorem) and Remark 1.6.

Corollary 2.10. *If φ is an algebraically constructible function, then $\Lambda\varphi$ always has even values, and both $\Lambda\varphi$ and $D_X\varphi$ are algebraically constructible mod 4.*

2.6. The Analytic Category.

All local statements of this paper hold in the real analytic category, that is for real analytic spaces and subspaces, by virtually the same proofs, where, whenever necessary, we replace semi-algebraic sets by subanalytic sets.

REFERENCES

- [BCR] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M-F. Roy, *Géométrie Algébrique Réelle*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3 Folge, Band 12, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [BM] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **67** (1988), 5-42.
- [BR] R. Benedetti, J-J. Risler, *Real Algebraic Geometry*, Hermann, Paris, 1990.
- [C] M. Coste, *Sous-ensembles algébriques réels de codimension 2*, Real Analytic and Algebraic Geometry (M. Galbiatti, A. Tognoli, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 1420, Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 111–120.
- [CK] M. Coste, K. Kurdyka, *On the link of a stratum in a real algebraic set*, Topology **31** (1992), 323–336.
- [DP] A. Dimca, L. Paunescu, *Real singularities and dihedral representations*, University of Sydney Pure Maths. Research Reports 92-4 (1992).
- [Du] A. Durfee, *Neighbourhoods of algebraic sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276** (1983), 517–530.
- [DS] A. Durfee, M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge structures on the intersection cohomology of links*, Compositio Math. **76** (1990), no. 1-2, 49–67.
- [G] C. G. Gibson et al., *Topological stability of smooth mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 552, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [HMS] J-P. Henry, M. Merle, C. Sabbah, *Sur la condition de Thom stricte pour un morphisme analytique complexe*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **114** (1984), 227–268.
- [KS] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [Lê] Lê D. T., *Some remarks on relative monodromy*, Real and Complex Singularities (Oslo 1976), Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Amsterdam, 1977, pp. 397–403.
- [LT] Lê D. T., B. Teissier, *Limites d'espaces tangents en géométrie analytique*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **63** (1988), 540–578.
- [Mi] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annals of Math. Studies, 61, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [Sa] C. Sabbah, *Morphismes analytiques stratifiés sans éclatement et cycles évanescents*, Astérisque **101-102**, 286–319.
- [Sch] P. Schapira, *Operations on constructible functions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **72** (1991), 83–93.
- [SY] M. Shiota, M. Yokoi, *Triangulations of subanalytic sets and locally subanalytic manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **286** (1984), no. 2, 727–750.
- [Su] D. Sullivan, *Combinatorial invariants of analytic spaces*, Proc. Liverpool Singularities Symposium I, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 192, Springer-Verlag, 1971, pp. 165–169.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602, USA
E-mail address: clint@math.uga.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, SYDNEY, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: parusinski_a@maths.su.oz.au